UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/849,056	05/20/2004	Ryosuke Inagaki	KY-202	6741	
	7590 01/02/200 STANGER & MALU	EXAMINER			
Suite 370 1800 Diagonal Road Alexandria, VA 22314			SUTHERS, DOUGLAS JOHN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/02/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/849,056	INAGAKI, RYOSUKE		
Examiner	A 1 1 ! 4		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Douglas J. Suthers	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		: FIRST REPLY WAS FII	ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They raise new issues that would require further cor	•	ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			
NOTE: The added limitations including the position		<u>ims 4 and 9 would req</u>	<u>uire further</u>
search and would require further consideration. (Se	* **	maliant Amandmant (OTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpilant Amendment (I	-10L-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	- 	line alv. file de anno an due an	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owabie ii submilled in a separate, i	umely filed amendmen	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	■ will not be entered or b) □ will	I he entered and an e	rolanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		The entered and an ex	cpianation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-6 and 9-12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t b - 5 tb data - 5 5 1 1 N l -		h = ==================================
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 1. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Vivian Chin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614			